



Prescient Assurance LLC 25 W 36th Street Floor 11 New York, NY 10018

May 12, 2023

TRANSFUSION ANTIBODY EXCHANGE 81 ORCHARD RD Name of Signatory: Ronald G Hauser Phone and Email of Signatory: 7346450310, george.hauser@alloantibody.org

As part of our SOC 2® Type 2 examination, you have requested that we examine TRANSFUSION ANTIBODY EXCHANGE's ("client") accompanying description titled "Alloantibody Exchange's Description of its System throughout the period of "May 1, 2023 to November 1, 2023" based on criteria for a description of a service organization's system in DC section 200, 2018 Description Criteria for a Description of a Service Organization's System in a SOC 2® Report (AICPA, Description Criteria), ("description criteria") and the suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of controls stated in the description throughout the period of "May 1, 2023 to November 1, 2023", to provide reasonable assurance that TRANSFUSION ANTIBODY EXCHANGE's service commitments and system requirements were achieved based on trust services criteria relevant to the "Security" criteria ("applicable trust services criteria") set forth in TSP section 100, 2017 Trust Services Criteria for Security, Availability, Processing Integrity, Confidentiality, and Privacy. We are pleased to confirm our acceptance and our understanding of this examination engagement by means of this letter. Our examination will be conducted with the objective of expressing a written opinion as to whether the description fairly presents, in all material respects, "Alloantibody Exchange's" system that was designed and implemented throughout the period of "May 1, 2023 to November 1, 2023"; and to provide reasonable assurance that "TRANSFUSION ANTIBODY EXCHANGE's" service commitments and system requirements would be achieved based on the applicable trust services criteria throughout the period of "May 1, 2023 to November 1, 2023".

Service Auditor's Responsibilities

We will conduct our examination in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Those standards require that we plan and perform our examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether, in all material respects, the description is presented in accordance with the description criteria and the controls stated therein were suitably designed and operating effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the service organization's service commitments and system requirements were achieved based on the applicable trust services criteria.

An examination of the description of a service organization's system and the suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of controls involves the following:

- Obtaining an understanding of the system and the service organization's service commitments and system requirements.
- Assessing the risks that the description is not presented in accordance with the description criteria and that controls were not suitably designed and operating effectively.
- Performing procedures to obtain evidence about whether the description is presented in accordance with the description criteria.
- Performing procedures to obtain evidence about whether controls stated in the description were suitably designed and operating effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the service

organization achieved its service commitments and system requirements based on the applicable trust services criteria.

• Evaluating the overall presentation of the description.

Our examination will also include performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances.

There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of internal control, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention of controls. Because of their nature, controls may not always operate effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the service organization's service commitments and system requirements are achieved based on the applicable trust services criteria.

Also, the projection to the future of any conclusions about the suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of controls is subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our responsibility as auditors is, of course, limited to the period covered by our audit and does not extend to any other periods.

Responsibilities of the Management

Our examination will be conducted on the basis that "Client's" management acknowledge and understand that they have responsibility for:

- 1. Identifying the responsible party, if different from the engaging party.
- 2. Defining the scope of the examination, which includes the following:
 - Identifying the services provided to user entities, which will establish the subject matter of the examination;
 - Identifying the system used to provide those services;
 - Identifying the risks from business partners providing intellectual property or services to the service organization related to the system;
 - Selecting the trust services category or categories to be included within the scope of the examination;
 - Determining the type of the examination to be performed and determining the specified "as of date;
 - If services are provided to the service organization by other entities, evaluating the effect of those services on the service organization's achievement of its service commitments and system requirements and concluding whether those other entities are subservice organizations;
 - Determining whether subservice organizations, if any, are to be addressed in the report using the inclusive method or the carve-out method;
- 3. If a subservice organization is to be presented using the inclusive method, obtaining agreement from subservice organization management to participate in the examination and agree to provide a written assertion and written representations.
- 4. Specifying the principal service commitments made to user entities and the system requirements needed to operate the system.
- 5. Specifying the principal system requirements related to commitments made to business partners.
- 6. Identifying and analyzing risks that could prevent the service organization from achieving its service commitments and system requirements.
- 7. Designing, implementing, operating, monitoring, and documenting controls to provide reasonable assurance of achieving the service organization's service commitments and system requirements based on the applicable trust services criteria.

- 8. Identifying subservice organizations and determining whether to present them under the inclusive or carve-out method and, if using the carve-out method, identifying CSOCs.
- 9. Providing a written assertion that will be included in, or attached to, management's description of the service organization's system and furnished to user entities.
- 10. Having a reasonable basis for its assertion.
- 11. Selecting the trust services criteria applicable to the engagement and stating them in the assertion.
- 12. Specifying the principal service commitments made to user entities and the system requirements needed to operate the system.
- 13. Specifying the principal system requirements related to commitments made to business partners.
- 14. Identifying and analyzing risks that could prevent the service organization from achieving its service commitments and system requirements.
- 15. Specifying any additional criteria, stating them in the description of the service organization's system, and, if the criteria are specified by law, regulation, or another party (for example, a user group or a professional body), identifying in the description the party specifying the criteria.
- 16. Designing, implementing, maintaining, and documenting controls that are suitably designed and operating effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the applicable trust services criteria are met and linking them to the risks that threaten meeting the applicable trust services criteria.
- 17. Providing us with the following:
 - Access to all information relevant to the description (such as records, documentation, service level agreements, internal audit or other reports that management is aware of) of the service organization's system and accompanying assertion.
 - Any additional relevant information that we may request.
 - Unrestricted access to personnel within the appropriate parties from whom we determine it is necessary to obtain evidence relevant to the engagement.
- 18. Disclosing to us any
 - Incidents of noncompliance with laws and regulations, fraud, or uncorrected errors attributable to management or other service organization personnel that are clearly not trivial and that may affect one or more user entities and whether such incidents have been communicated appropriately to affected user entities.
 - Knowledge of any actual, suspected, or alleged intentional acts by management or the service organization's employees that could adversely affect the fairness of the presentation of management's description of the service organization's system or meeting the applicable trust services criteria stated in the description.
 - Deficiencies in the design and operating effectiveness of controls of which it is aware.
 - Instances in which controls have not operated with sufficient effectiveness to meet the applicable trust services criteria.
 - All identified system incidents that resulted in a significant impairment of the service organization's achievement of its service commitments and system requirements as of the date of the description.
 - Events subsequent to the period covered by management's description of the service organization's system up to the date of the service auditor's report that could have a significant effect on management's assertion.

We understand that you will provide us with the basic information required for our examination and that you are responsible for the accuracy and completeness of that information.

As part of our examination process, we will request from management, written confirmation concerning representations made to us in connection with the examination.

Reporting

We will issue a written report upon completion of our examination of management's description to meet the description criteria and the suitability of the design and operating effectiveness of controls to meet the

applicable trust services criteria. Our report will be addressed to TRANSFUSION ANTIBODY EXCHANGE. We cannot provide assurance that an unmodified opinion will be expressed. Circumstances may arise in which it is necessary for us to modify our opinion, add an emphasis-of-matter or other-matter paragraph(s), or withdraw from the engagement.

Other

During the course of the engagement, we may communicate with you or with your personnel via fax or e-mail, and you should be aware that communication in those mediums contains a risk of misdirected or intercepted communications.

John D. Wallace is the engagement partner for the services specified in this letter. His responsibilities include supervising Prescient Assurance's services performed as part of this engagement and signing or authorizing another qualified firm representative to sign the attest report.

50% of our fee of "\$5,000" will be billed once the contract is fully executed and due upon receipt. The remaining 50% will be billed upon draft delivery and due upon receipt. No report will be delivered until the invoice is cleared.

We agree to retain our attest documentation or work papers for a period of at least three years from the date of our report.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you and look forward to working with you and your staff.

Respectfully,

John Wallace

John Wallace Partner Prescient Assurance, LLC

Ronald G Hauser

Ronald G Hauser President TRANSFUSION ANTIBODY EXCHANGE

Certificate of Completion

By Prescient Assurance Esign

Document ID

91 f0 bscw 60 hvrbu amjmetu 5 wwkv 8 v4 to a 0 mddm 6 nwd suvkxoomt lmml 3 tkukxwaa

Document Title

Engagement Letter SOC2 (Type 2)

Status

Completed

Name of the Company TRANSFUSION ANTIBODY EXCHANGE

Audit Trail

Username	Email	Action	IP Address	Date/Time
Micaela Posey	micaela.posey@prescientassurance.com	Viewed	98.203.174.102	May 12, 2023 01:27:15 PM
Micaela Posey	micaela.posey@prescientassurance.com	Shared	98.203.174.102	May 12, 2023 01:30:01 PM
Ronald G Hauser	george.hauser@alloantibody.org	Viewed	130.132.173.215	May 12, 2023 02:44:42 PM
Ronald G Hauser	george.hauser@alloantibody.org	Viewed	24.44.58.37	May 15, 2023 11:28:45 AM
Micaela Posey	micaela.posey@prescientassurance.com	Viewed	98.203.174.102	May 15, 2023 01:58:32 PM
Micaela Posey	micaela.posey@prescientassurance.com	Shared	98.203.174.102	May 15, 2023 02:01:26 PM
Ronald G Hauser	george.hauser@alloantibody.org	Viewed	24.44.58.37	May 23, 2023 07:24:09 AM
Ronald G Hauser	george.hauser@alloantibody.org	Signed	24.44.58.37	May 23, 2023 07:26:22 AM
John Wallace	john.wallace@prescientassurance.com	Viewed	74.219.16.171	May 23, 2023 08:07:29 PM
John Wallace	john.wallace@prescientassurance.com	Signed	74.219.16.171	May 23, 2023 08:07:40 PM